



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACY AND ANALYTICAL RESEARCH

ISSN:2320-2831

IJPAP | Vol.9 | Issue 1 | Jan - Mar - 2020  
Journal Home page: [www.ijpar.com](http://www.ijpar.com)

Research article

Open Access

## Analysis of water quality of godavari river at Ahmednagar District, Maharashtra

Tejaswini Kande, Supriya Khatal, Pallavi Dhekale, Vikas Thorat, Priyanka Borude,  
Ashok Bhosale

PDEA's, Shankarrao Ursal College of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research Centre, Kharadi, Pune,  
Maharashtra, India.

\*Corresponding Author: Tejaswini Kande

### ABSTRACT

Water pollution is one of the major global environmental problems. It is an acute problem almost in all major rivers and water reservoirs in India. Water pollution is increasing and becoming severe day-by-day and posing a great risk to human health and other living organisms. There is growing concern on the deterioration of ground water quality due to geogenic and anthropogenic activities. Present investigation aims at insight about the level of contaminants of surface water, groundwater and sediment analysis of selected rivers of Godavari River located in Puntamba, Gaon, Taluka shrirampur, Ahmednagar district. An attempt has been made to assess the water quality, Godavari River DO was 12.4 mg/L. In the present study, COD value was observed by 169 mg/l at surface water of Godavari River. As expected groundwater samples showed values of hardness within a limit. There is an urgent need for more representative samples to be used to go beyond preliminary assessment as reported in the present study for making appropriate recommendations.

**Keywords:** Dissolved oxygen, Physicochemical Parameters.

### INTRODUCTION

Water is one of the most common yet the most precious resources on earth without which there would be no life on Earth. Nowadays, water pollution is a major global problem. It is an acute problem almost in all major rivers and dams in India. Water pollution is increasing and becoming severe day-by-day and posing a great risk to human health and other living organisms. Water pollution can be defined as 'The contamination of water

bodies by physicochemical and biological pollutants into the water making it unfit for drinking and use in other purposes'. Point source pollution refers to contaminants that enter a waterway from a single, identifiable source, such as a pipe or ditch while non-point source pollution refers to diffuse contamination that does not originate from a single discrete source. The change water quality also varies due to a change in chemical composition of the underlying sediments

and aquifer [1]. About one third of the drinking water requirement of the world is obtained from surface sources like rivers, dams, lakes and canals [2]. In urban areas, the careless disposal of industrial effluents and other wastes in rivers and lakes may contribute greatly to the poor quality of river water [3]. Pollution of river in India has now reached to a point of crisis due to unplanned urbanization and rapid growth of industrialization [4]. Assessment of water resource quality of any region is an important aspect of developmental activities of the region, because rivers, lakes and manmade reservoirs are used for water supply to domestic, industrial, agricultural and fish culture [5]. Good water quality resources depend on large number of physicochemical parameters and the magnitude and source of any pollution load; and to assess that, monitoring of these parameters is essential [6]. Polluted water is the major cause for the spread of many epidemics and some serious diseases like cholera, tuberculosis, typhoid, diarrhea etc. Contamination of drinking water from any source is therefore of primary importance because of the danger and risk of water borne diseases [7]. According to WHO, 1998, report there were estimated 4 billion cases of diarrhea and 2.2 million deaths annually [8]. The availability of good quality water is an indispensable feature for preventing disease and improving quality of life [9]. Domestic and industrial wastewater constitute as a constant polluting source, whereas surface runoff is a seasonal phenomenon mainly controlled by climate [10]. Without adequate quantity and quality of fresh water sustainable development will not be possible [11]. The healthy aquatic ecosystem is depended on the biological diversity and Physico-chemical characteristics [12].

The physicochemical properties will also help in the identification of sources of pollution, for conducting further investigations on the eco-biological impacts and for initiating necessary steps for remedial actions in case of polluted water bodies [13]. In India, many researchers have worked on physicochemical and biological characteristics of reservoirs and rivers [4, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. Although statistics vary, the World Health Organization (WHO) reports that approximately 36% of urban and 65% of rural Indian's were without access to safe drinking water [21]. Ground water is an essential and vital component of our life support system. The ground

water resources are being utilized for drinking, irrigation and industrial purposes. There is growing concern on the deterioration of ground water quality due to geogenic and anthropogenic activities. Thus, Freshwater has become a scare commodity due to over exploitation and pollution [22]. Uncontrolled domestic wastewater discharge into pond has resulted in eutrophication of ponds as evidence by substantial algal bloom, dissolve oxygen depletion in the subsurface water leads to large fish kill and other oxygen requiring organism [23]. The natural and human activities over the years have contributed towards continuous built up of toxic metals in water bodies. Human activities such as mining and smelting of metals, electroplating, gas exhaust, energy and fuel production, fertilizers, sewage and pesticides, municipal waste generations are contributing for heavy metal pollution [24] which has become one of the most severe environmental problems today. The content of heavy metals in river bottom sediments is often used as an indicator of their anthropogenic pollution [25]. In most of the rivers contaminated sediment has become one of the most environmental issues. Both natural and human activities effect the composition of the sediment [26]. It is also well known that river bottom sediments are not only sinks for heavy metals but also their sources. Though some of the metals like Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni and Zn are essential as micronutrients for life processes in plants and microorganisms, while many other metals like Cd, Cr and Pb have no known physiological activity, but they are proved detrimental beyond certain limit [27]. Monitoring these metals is an important task for safety assessment of the overall environment. Godavari River flows through the cities. Due to outburst of rapid industrialization and urbanization of these cities, Godavari River carries huge load of sediments, chemicals and varied industrial discharges. There is an urgent need to monitor the water quality of the river periodically; therefore, present investigation aims at insight about the level of contaminants of surface water, groundwater and sediment analysis of Godavari River. Sediment and weed analysis was carried out in order to find out the extent of metal accumulation and biological magnification.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

All standard and well-known methods were used for the estimation of physico-chemical parameters of water and sediment analysis of the samples collected from selected sites of the city. Samples were collected from sites of Godavari River, at Taluka shrirampur, in Ahmednagar district of Maharashtra. The distance between each site was nearly about 25km Ground water, surface water, sediments samples were collected from each site. Each water sample is collected in 1000 ml plastic container while dissolved oxygen was fixed on site and then analyzed in the laboratory. Sediment samples were collected in plastic bags and treated further in laboratory for analysis. All water samples were preserved carefully. Sediment samples were dried in oven and powdered form was used for further

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

All standard and well-known methods were used for the estimation of physico-chemical parameters of water and sediment analysis of the samples collected from selected sites of the city. Samples were collected from sites of Godavari River of Puntamba, Ahmednagar district. The study was carried out in the month of December 2019. The distance between each site was nearly about 25km Ground water, surface water, sediments and weed samples were collected from each site. Each water sample is collected in 1000 ml plastic container while dissolved oxygen was fixed on site and then analyzed in the laboratory. Sediment samples were collected in plastic bags and treated further in laboratory for analysis. All water samples were preserved carefully. Water parameters COD, BOD, Hardness, Dissolved oxygen was estimated by using routine laboratory instruments. Each parameter was estimated for three times and average value was considered as final result.

### Selected Site

One site was selected namely; 1: Godavari River

### Physico-Chemical Parameters

#### ph

The ph was determined using Digital ph Meter 335. The ph of water sample was directly determined with the electrode. The contents were

stirred well and allowed to settle and supernatant was used to check ph.

### Dissolved Oxygen (DO)

Dissolved oxygen content of the water samples was measured by using Winkler's method (modified azide method).

The sample was collected in 300 ml bottle and DO was fixed on site by using 1 ml each of Manganous sulphate and Alkaline-iodide-azide. The precipitate formed was dissolved in laboratory by using sulphuric acid and titrated with sodium thiosulphate using starch as an indicator. The end point of titration was blue to straw pale colour.

$$\text{DO(mg/L)} = \frac{\text{Ml of titrant} \times N \times 1000 \times 8}{V_2(V_1 - V_2)/V_1}$$

V<sub>1</sub>=volume of BOD bottle, V<sub>2</sub>=volume of content titrated and V= volume of mnsO<sub>4</sub> and Alkaline-iodide-azide.

### Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)

COD determination was carried out with dichromate reflux method with the addition of 10 ml of 0.25 N potassium dichromate (K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>) and 30 ml mixture of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and Ag<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> reagent in 20 ml diluted sample. The mixture was refluxed for 2h and was cooled to room temperature. The solution was then diluted to 150 ml by using distilled water and excess K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> remained was titrated with Ferrous Ammonium Sulphate (FAS) using ferroin indicator.

$$\text{COD(mg/L)} = \frac{(A - B) \times N \times 1000 \times 8}{\text{Volume of Sample}}$$

Where, A is the ml of FAS used for blank; B is the ml of FAS used for sample, N is the normality of FAS and 8 is milli equivalent weight of oxygen.

### Biological Oxygen Demand

The dilution method was followed to determine the BOD after three days at 27°C. For the same dilution water was prepared with the addition of nutrients namely phosphate buffer, magnesium sulphate, calcium chloride and ferric chloride. The diluted sample was transferred to BOD bottles of 300 ml capacity. After determining initial dissolved

oxygen (DO), final DO was estimated from the bottles kept for incubation period for three days.

### Alkalinity of Water sample

10 ml of water sample was mixed with 2-3 drop of phenolphthalein. The development of pink colour to the solution indicated the presence of alkalinity and was then titrated with 0.02N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> till the colour disappears.

$$\text{Alkalinity} = \frac{\text{Volume of Sample}}{B \times N \times 50 \times 1000}$$

### Total Hardness of Water sample

The total hardness of the water samples was determined by EDTA titration method where 50 ml of well mixed sample was mixed with 1-2 ml buffer of ph 10 and a pinch of Moderant black indicator. The contents were then titrated with 0.01M EDTA till wine red solution changes to blue.

$$\text{Hardness(mg/L)} = \frac{C \times D \times 1000}{\text{ml of Sample}}$$

Where C=ml of EDTA for titration, D= mg of CaCO<sub>3</sub> equivalent to 1ml of EDTA

### Free carbon dioxide of water sample

Free CO<sub>2</sub> was determined with 20 ml of sample in a conical flask and with the addition of phenolphthalein indicator. Colorless solution was then titrated with 0.05N NaOH to obtain pink as an end point.

$$\text{Free CO}_2 = \frac{A \times N \times 44 \times 1000}{\text{Volume of Sample}}$$

A= ml of titrant.

N= normality of NaOH

### Organic carbon in sediment

0.50 g dried soil sample was taken in a conical flask. 10 ml 1 N Potassium dichromate and 2 ml conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was added and allowed to stand for 30 min. The volume was adjusted with 200 ml distilled water and then 10 ml ortho-phosphoric acid and pinch of Moderant black indicator was added. The solution thus obtained was titrated with 0.5N FAS

solution. End point was blue-violet to green. Similarly, blank was run with distilled water.

$$\text{Organic carbon (\%)} = \frac{10 (B-T) \times 0.003 \times 1000}{B \times S}$$

B= Vol. Of FAS for blank, T= Vol. Of FAS for soil sample, S= Wt. Of soil in gm.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Ph

Ph is the measurement of the potential activity of hydrogen ions in the sample. The leaching of soils, organic matter and rocks is influenced by ph [48]. The desirable range of ph for drinking water is from 7.0 to 8.5. The majority of waters are slightly basic (i.e. Generally over 7.0) because of the presence of carbonate and bicarbonate ions. In the present investigation, the minimum ph was observed to be 6.0 of ground water of Godavari River. The ph values were in weak acid range and not acceptable limit. Generally, the obtained ph values fall within the World Health Organization standard of 7.0 to 8.5 and the water quality ranges 6.5 to 8.5 for drinking water and water meant for full contact recreation, respectively [28]. The EU also sets ph protection limits of 6.0 to 9.0 for fisheries and aquatic life [29]. The fluctuations in optimum ph ranges may lead to an increase or decrease in the toxicity of poisons in water bodies [30]

### Dissolved Oxygen (DO)

Dissolved oxygen concentrations in unpolluted water normally range between 8 and 10 mg/l and concentrations below 5 mg/l adversely affect aquatic life [34]. DO standard for drinking purpose is 6 mg/l whereas for sustaining fish and aquatic life is 4-5 mg/L [34]. For water quality variable such as dissolved oxygen, water quality criteria are set at the minimum acceptable concentration to ensure the maintenance of biological function. Dissolved oxygen content of the water sample was observed 12.4 mg/l. Biological oxygen demand increases due to biodegradation of organic materials which exerts oxygen tension in a water body [35]. Dissolved oxygen present in drinking

water adds taste and it is highly fluctuating factor in water [36].

### Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)

Chemical oxygen demand is an important water quality parameter in order to assess the pollution load into the water source. COD is the measure of amount of oxygen required by both potassium dichromate and concentrated sulphuric acid to breakdown both organic and inorganic matters. It is commonly used to indirectly measure the amount of organic compounds in water. The measure of COD determines the quantities of organic matter found in water [48]. This makes COD useful as an indicator of organic pollution in surface water [37]. In the present study, highest value of COD was observed by value of 169 at surface water of Godavari River. In the conjunction with the BOD test, the COD test is helpful in indicating toxic conditions and the presence of biologically resistant organic substances.

### Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD)

Biological oxygen demand is the measure of oxygen required by microorganisms whilst breaking down organic matter [48]. Highest value of BOD was observed by value of 34.50 at surface water sample of Godavari River. The higher values for BOD and are the indication of organic load on the water source. BOD is used as the index of organic pollution of waste water that can be decomposed by bacteria under anaerobic conditions.

### Alkalinity

Alkalinity measures the ability of a solution to neutralize acids the equivalence point of carbonate

or bicarbonate. In the natural environment carbonate alkalinity tends to make up most of the total alkalinity due to the common.

Occurrence and dissolution of carbonate rocks and presence of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. Alkalinity was found in sample of Godavari River is 5 due to pH 6.0

### Free CO<sub>2</sub>

Free CO<sub>2</sub> content of the water bodies also represents the rate of degradation and release of CO<sub>2</sub> in water bodies which later again decrease the dissolved oxygen content of the water bodies. The value was highest at surface water river sample with 88 mg/l indicating higher release of CO<sub>2</sub> due to degradation of organic wastes. Concentration of free CO<sub>2</sub> in water increases due to Increasing algal blooms which creates a barrier between atmosphere and water for gaseous exchange [39].

### Hardness

Total hardness mainly depends upon the dissolved salts present in water. Hard water is water that contains high levels of dissolved calcium, magnesium and other mineral salt such as iron. The greater is amount of dissolved minerals in water, more is the water hard. Hard water forms deposits that clog plumbing. These deposits, called scale, are composed mainly of calcium carbonate, magnesium hydroxide and calcium sulphate. As expected groundwater samples showed higher values of hardness content as compared to surface water sample of the Godavari River. The higher value of hardness was observed at groundwater sample of Godavari River by 72 mg/l.

**Table 1: water quality analysis of sample of godavari river**

| Sr. No. | Ph             | DO              | COD            | BOD              | Alkalinity | Hardness      | Free CO <sub>2</sub> |
|---------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 1)      | 6.0<br>(±0.02) | 12.4<br>(±0.21) | 169<br>(±5.05) | 34.50<br>(±1.24) | —          | 72<br>(±2.10) | 88<br>(±0.23)        |

### CONCLUSION

In the present investigation, an attempt has been made to assess water quality with reference to physico-chemical properties, heavy metals, sediment of the riverine (local) area of Puntamba gaon, District Ahmednagar. The Godavari river was

observed to be polluted because of forming human waste remedies. The Godavari River was most polluted river, because the COD and BOD values were 169 mg/l and 34.50 mg/l respectively. Hardness of Godavari River was 72 mg/l and value was observed within limit. The survival of aquatic animals is less because of highest values of COD

and BOD. Sources of waste water from industries and residential areas, washing clothes, cars and dumping of garbage was observed on these sites. It

was also observed that the natural quality of water resources is getting deteriorated at faster rate.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. V Emongor; E Kealotswe; I Koorapetse; S Sankwasa and S Keikanetswe., *Journal of Applied Sciences*. 5, 2005, 147-150.
- [2]. Sagardadas, Pallavi dhe kale, pranjal nikam and "Water quality analysis and sediment analysis of selected lake at satara district" *World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 7(6), 2018, 629-640.
- [3]. Pallavi dhe kale, Pranjal nikam, saga rdadas, chetana patil, "Water Quality and Sediment Analysis of Selected Rivers at Satara District, Maharashtra" *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD)* 3(6), 2019, 239-243.
- [4]. A research on Quality and sendiment analysis of indrayani river at pune district Maharashtra *WJPPS*, 8(11), 2019, 1322-1332.
- [5]. RK Garg , DN Saksena; and RJ Rao, *Journal Of Environmental Biology*, 29(5), 2008, 01-710.
- [6]. India. J. Aqua. GR Jackher; and M Rawat, *Biol.*, 18, 2003, 79-83.
- [7]. N Jayaraju; K Sreenivas, KR Reddi, I Suriyakumar; and Ind. J. Mar. Sci., 22, 1993, 223-234.
- [8]. AM Omemu; MO Edema; OM Fapetu; *Niger. J. Microbiol.* 15(1), 2001, 57-61.
- [9]. CL Chan, MK Zalifah; and AS Norrakiah, *The Malaysian Journal of Analytical Science*, 11(2), 2007, 414-420.
- [10]. BI Adewoye; AO Oluduro; *J. Plant Sci.* 6, 2007, 453-438.
- [11]. KP Singh; A Malik; D Mohan and S Sinha, *Water Res.*, 38, 2004, 3980.
- [12]. HB Mahananda, MR Mahananda; and BP Mohanty, *Ecology Environment & Conservation*.11(3-4), 2005, 537-541.
- [13]. K Venkatesharaju; P Ravikumar; RK Somashekar; KL Prakash, *Journal of Science, Engineering and technology*, 6(1), 2010, 50-59.
- [14]. UK Ekwenye; CA Oji, *Environ. Ecol.* 26, 2008, 543-545.
- [15]. RK Trivedy; and PK Goel, *Environmental Publication*, Karad, Maharashtra 1986.
- [16]. SC Bhargava; GR Jakhar; and RK Sinha, *Geobiology* 1990.
- [17]. S Rao; *Fresh Water Molluscs of Indian Recent Advances in Fresh Water*. Anmol Publications Pvt. Ltd. Newdelhi 1993, 47-52.
- [18]. S Patil; and JM Patwari; *J. Aquatic Biology*, 18(2), 2003, 85-86.
- [19]. A Shayestehfar; M Soleimani; SN Mousavi; and F Shirazi, *Iran Journal of Environmental Biology*, 29(5), 2008, 715-720.
- [20]. RN Barik; and RK Patel, *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection*, 24(03), 2004, 161-166.
- [21]. RK Gaur; AA Khan; A Alam; and mdaalam, *Indian Journal Of Environmental Protection*, 20(3), 1997, 165-170.
- [22]. World Health Organization, *Guidelines for Drinking water quality*, WHO Press, Switzerland 3(1), 2009.
- [23]. GK Gupta; R. Shukle, *Pollution Research*, 23(4), 2006, 205-209.
- [24]. Pandey, *Hydrol. Proc.*, 10(2), 2003, 131-295
- [25]. A Amman; B Michalke; P Schramel, *Anal. Biochem*, 372, 2002, 448-452.
- [26]. E Helios-Rybicks; *Researches of Quaternary Sediments*. Polish Geological Institute 1995.
- [27]. AK Rai; SN Upadhyay; S Kumar and YD Upadhyay, *J. Of IAEM*. 25, 1999, 22-51.
- [28]. MR Bruins; S Kapil; FW. Oehme, *Ecot ox. Environ. Safe.* 45, 2000, 198-207.
- [29]. DWAF, 1996, *South African Water Quality Guidelines*, Recreational Water Use Department of DWAF, WRC, South African Water Quality Management Series. WRC Report No. 24 TT64/94. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry and Water Research Commission, Pretoria 2, 1995.
- [30]. D Chapman, *Water Quality Assessments: A Guide to The Use Of Biota, Sediments And Water in environmental Monitoring* 2nd. Ed. UNESCO, World Health Organization, United Nations environment programme, London 1996.
- [31]. J Ali, *An Assessment of The Water Quality of Ogunpa River Ibadan, Nigeria*. M.Sc. Dissertation. University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria 1991.



- [32]. DK Chandawat , HA Solanki; PU Verma and, Life Sciences Leaflets 20, 2011, 944-955.
- [33]. MR Mahananda, International Journal of Research and Review in Applied Sciences, 2(3), 2010, 284-295.
- [34]. PV Rao, Textbook of Environmental Engineering. Eastern Economy Ed., Pretice-Hall of India private limited, New Delhi, Chapter 3, 2005, 280.
- [35]. B Abida and H krishna, Journal of Chemistry, 5(2), 2008, 377-384.
- [36]. N Patil; A Ahmed; H Sureshababu; NM Kottureshwar; M Jayashree and J Nijalingappa, IJABPT. 1(2), 2010, 518-523.
- [37]. F Ngwenya; Water Quality Trends in the Eerste River, Western Cape, 1990- 2005. A mini thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Magister Scientiae, Integrated Water Resources Management in the Faculty of Natural Science, University of the Western Cape, 2006, 41.
- [38]. CN Sawyer; LP Mccarty and GF Parkin, Chemistry for Environmental Engineering and Science, tatamcgraw-Hill, 2003, 625-630.
- [39]. BK Dwivedi and GC Pandey. Poll. Res. 21(3), 2002, 361-370.
- [40]. AJ Robson; and C Neal, Sci. Total Environ., 194-95, 1997, 15-37.
- [41]. SS Asaolu; KO Ipinmoroti; CE Adeyinowo, O. Olaofe, Afr. J. Sci1: 1997, 55-61.
- [42]. USEPA; United States Environmental Protection Agency, Water Quality Monitoring for Coffee Creek (porter county, Indiana). Retrieved Sept. 29, 2006, www.USEPA 2002.
- [43]. AP Mucha; MTSD Vasconcelos; and AA Bordalo, Environmental Pollution 121, 2003, 169 -180.
- [44]. EC, European Commission, Heavy Metals in Waste. Final Report DG ENV. E3. 86, 2002.
- [45]. BT Hart; Hydrobiologia, 91, 1982, 299-313.
- [46]. GB Hagan; FG Ofofu; EK Hayford; EK Osoe; and K Oduro-Afriye, Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences 3(4), 2011, 385-392.
- [47]. NGBRA: National Ganga River Basin Authority (NGRBA), Draft, Environmental and Social management framework (ESMF), Volume I - Environmental and Social Analysis, 2011,
- [48]. Mane A.V., et.al. Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 5(8), 2013, 91-102.