

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACY AND ANALYTICAL RESEARCH

IJPAR |Vol.6 | Issue 4 | Oct - Dec -2017 Journal Home page: www.ijpar.com

Research article

Open Access

ISSN:2320-2831

Method development and validation for the simultaneous estimation of ambroxol HCL and levofloxacin by using RP HPLC method

B.Jyothsna^{*1}, **V.Swapna¹**

¹Chilkur balaji college pharmacy, Aziznagar (v),Moinabad(M)-5000075 Telangana, India *Corresponding Author: B.Jyothsna Email: b.jyothsna369@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

A new, simple, precise, accurate and reproducible RP-HPLC method for Simultaneous estimation of bulk and pharmaceutical formulations. Separation of Ambroxol HCL and levofloxacin was successfully achieved by Inertsil C18, 250 X4.6, 5µm or equivalent in an isocratic mode utilizing 0.1% OPA: methanol (60:40) at a flow rate of 1mL/min and eluate was monitored at 238nm, with a retention time of 2.173 and 4.344 minutes for Ambroxol HCL and levofloxacin respectively. The method was validated and there response was found to be linear in the drug concentration range of 50µg/ml to150 µg/ml for Ambroxol HCL and 50µg/ml to150 µg/ml for Levofloxacin. The values of the correlation coefficient were found to0.999for Ambroxol HCL and 1for levofloxacin were found LOQ for Ambroxol HCL were found to be 0.035and 0.116 respectively. The LOD and LOQ for Ambroxol HCL and 0.6281 respectively. This method was found to be good percentage recovery for Ambroxol HCL and Levofloxacin were found to be 99.1% and 99.7% respectively indicates that the proposed method is highly accurate. The specificity of the method shows good correlation between retention times of standard with the sample so, the method specifically determines the analyte in the sample without interference from excipients of tablet dosage forms. The method was extensively validated according to ICH guidelines for Linearity, Accuracy, Precision, Specificity and Robustness

Keywords: Ambroxol and Levofloxacin, Validation, HPLC

INTRODUCTION

Analytical methods development and validation play important roles in the discovery, development, and manufacture of pharmaceuticals. The current good manufacturing practice (CGMP) and Food Drug Administration (FDA) Guidelines insist for adoption of sound methods of analysis with greater sensitivity and reproducibility. Development of a method of analysis is usually based on prior art (or) existing literature, using the same (or) quite similar instrumentation .It is rare today that an HPLC-based method is developed that does not in same way relate (or) compare to existing, literature based approaches. Today HPLC (High performance liquid chromatography) is the method of choice used by the pharmaceutical industry to assay the intact drug and degradation products. The appropriate selection and chromatographic conditions ensure that the HPLC method will have the desired specificity. UV spectroscopy is also a simple analytical tool widely used for routine assay of drugs. Hence for the assay of the selected drugs HPLC and UV spectroscopy has been chosen for these proposed methods.

The developed chromatographic methods further validated as per ICH or USFDA guidelines

DRUG PROFILE

Ambroxol

• Expectorants

Structure

for all the critical parameters. To access the precision and to evaluate the results of analysis the analyst must use statistical methods. These methods include confidence limit, regression analysis to establish calibration curves. In each analysis the critical response parameters must be optimized and recognized if possible



Structure of Ambroxol

IUPAC Name: $4-\{[(2-amino-3,5-dibromophenyl)methyl]amino\}cyclohexan-1-oldibromophenyl)methyl]amino}cyclohexan-1-olhydrochlorideMolecular formula:<math>C_{13}H_{19}Br_2CIN_2O$ Molecular Weight:414.56376Solubility:Soluble in methanol,water, ethanol, and DMSO. Insoluble in ether.Pka:

Mechanism of action

Ambroxol is a mucolytic agent. Excessive Nitric oxide (NO) is associated with inflammatory and some other disturbances of airways function. NO enhances the activation of soluble guanylate cyclase and cGMP accumulation. Ambroxol has been shown to inhibit the NO-dependent activation of soluble guanylate cyclase. It is also possible that the inhibition of NO-dependent activation of soluble guanylate cyclase can suppress the excessive mucus secretion, therefore it lowers the phlegm viscosity and improves the mucociliary transport of bronchial secretions.

Levofloxacin

• Quinolones

Structure



Stucture of Levofloxacin

IUPACNAME

(2S)-7-fluoro-2-methyl-6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-10-oxo-4-oxa-1-azatricyclo[7.3.1.0⁵,¹³]trideca-5(13),6,8,11-tetraene-11-carboxylic acid

Molecular formula

C₁₈H₂₀FN₃O₄ Molecular Weight

361.3

Solubility

Soluble in water

PKA

5.45

Mechanism of action

Levofloxacin inhibits bacterial type II topoisomerases, topoisomerase IV and DNA gyrase. Levofloxacin, like other fluoroquinolones, inhibits the A subunits of DNA gyrase, two subunits encoded by the gyrA gene. This results in strand breakage on a bacterial chromosome, supercoiling, and resealing; DNA replication and transcription is inhibited.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Equipment and Apparatus used

Electronic balance

- HPLC Waters Separation Module LC-20AT Prominence Liquid Chromatography
- ¬ UV Detector
- Chromatographic data Software : EMPOWER
- \neg SymmetryColumn C18 (250 X 4.6cm, Id- 5µ)
- Vacuum filter pump
- ¬ Mobile phase reservoir
- ¬ Ultra Sonicator , Membrane filter(0.45 and 0.2 microns)

Reagents

- ¬ Acetonitrile HPLC grade
- ¬ Water (HPLC)
- Potassium Dihydrogen Phosphat

METHOD VALIDATION

System suitability

Tailing factor for the peaks due to Ambroxol and Levofloxacin in standard solution should not be more than 2.0.Theoretical plates for the Ambroxol and Levofloxacin peaks in standard solution should not be less than 2000.

Specificity

Solution of standard, sample, blank and placebo were prepared as per test procedure and injected into the HPLC system.

Acceptance criteria

Chromatogram of standard and sample should be identical with near Retention time.

Blank interference

A study to establish the interference of blank was conducted. Diluent was injected into HPLC system as per the test procedure.

Acceptance criteria

Chromatogram of blank should not show any peak at the retention time of analyte peak. There is no interference due to blank at the retention time of analyte. Hence the method is specific.

Linearity

Prepare a series of standard solutions and inject into HPLC system. Plot the graph of standard

versus the actual concentration in μ g/ml and determine the coefficient of correlation and basis for 100% response.

Acceptance criteria

Linearity regression coefficient of average peak area response of replicate injections plotted against respective concentration should not be less than 0.999. The % y-intercept as obtained from the linearity data (without extrapolation through origin 0, 0) should be within ± 2.0 .

Statistical evaluation

A graph between the concentration and the average area was plotted. Points for linearity were observed. Using the method of least squares, a line of best fit was taken and the correlation Coefficient, slope and, y-intercept were calculated.

PRECISION

Preparation of sample

- Transfer the 1044.5mg of sample into a 100ml of volume at flask and add 10ml of water and 10ml of Methanol and sonicate 20min and makeup with water. Transfer the above solution into 2ml into 100ml volume metric flask dilute to the volume with water.
- The method precision parameters were evaluated from sample chromatograms obtained, by calculating the % RSD of peek areas from 6 replicate injection.

Acceptance criteria

The injection reproducibility requirements are met if the %RSD for peak areas is not more than 2.0 and for retention times is not more than 2.0.

Recovery/accuracy

Recovery study can be performed in the concentration range of 80% to 120% of the target concentration of the test. Minimum 3 concentrations are recommended.

Acceptance criteria

The average percentage recovery was between 98-102% and Relative standard deviation of these recovery concentrations was less than 2%.

Limit of detection

The sensitivity of measurement of Ambroxol and Levofloxacin by use of proposed method was estimated in terms of the limit of detection (LOD). The LOD was calculated by the use of signal to noise ratio. In order to estimate the LOD value, the blank sample was injected six times and peak area of this blank was calculated as noice level. The LOD was calculated as three times the noise level. LOD= $3.3 \sigma/S$

Where.

 σ = standard deviation of intercepts of calibration curves

S = mean of slopes of the calibration curves

The slope S may be estimated from the calibration curve of the analyte.

Limit of quantitation

The sensitivity of measurement of Ambroxol and Levofloxacin by the use of proposed method was estimated in terms of limit of quantitation (LOQ). The LOQ was calculated by the use of signal to noise ratio. In order to estimate the LOQ value, the blank sample was injected six times and the peak area of this blank was calculated at noise level. The LOQ was calculated as ten times the noise value gave the LOQ.

 $LOQ = 10 \sigma / S$

Where,

 σ = standard deviation of intercepts of calibration curves

S = mean of slopes of the calibration curves

The slope S may be estimated from the calibration curve of the analyte.

ROBUSTNESS

Effect of variation in flow rate

Prepare the system suitability solution as per the test method and inject into the HPLC system with ± 0.2 ml of the method flow. Evaluate the system suitability values as required by the test method for both flow rates. Actual flow rate was 1.0 ml/min and it was changed to 0.8ml/min and 1.2ml/min and inject into HPLC and system suitability was checked.

Effect of variation in wavelength

Prepare the system suitability solution as per the test method and injected into the HPLC with

 $\pm 2nm$ variation in wavelength. Evaluate the system suitability values as required by the test method for both wavelengths

Optimized method

- Mobile Phase : 0.1% OPA: Methanol (60:40)
- Column : Inertsil C8, 250X4.6, 5um
- Flow rate Temperature
- : 1.0ml /min : 30⁰C

Injection Volume : 10ul Detector : PDA

Procedure

Inject 10μ L of standard, sample into chromatographic system and measure the areas for the Ambroxol and Levofloxacin peaks and calculate the % assay by using the formula



Chromatogram for optimized method

Name	Retention Time	Area	USP Resolution	USP Tailing	USP Plate Count
Ambroxol	2.173	1334998		1.58	3841
Levofloxacin	4.344	2350999	12.42	1.36	7552

Observation

RT was found to be good and the peak symmetry of both drugs were good. And the resolution theoretical plate count and tailing were within the limits and it is used for validation of the method.

Preparation of mobile phase

Transfer 500ml of HPLC water into 500ml of beaker add 0.1%OPA. Transfer the above solution 600ml of 0.1%OPA, 400ml of Methanol is used as mobile phase. They are mixed and sonicated for 20min.

Preparation of the ambroxol and levofloxacin standard and sample solution

Preparation of standard solution

Accurately weigh and transfer 75mg Ambroxol and 500mg Levofloxacin into 100ml of volumetric flask and add 10ml of Methanol and sonicate 10min (or) shake 5min and make with water. Transfers the above solution into 2ml into 100ml volumetric flask dilute to volume with water.

Preparation of sample stock solution

Commercially available 20 tablets ware weighed and powdered the powdered equivalent to the 1044.5mg of Ambroxol and Levofloxacin of active ingredients were transfer into a 100ml of volumetric flask and add 10ml of Methanol and sonicate 20min (or) shake 10min and makeup with water.

Transfers above solution 2ml into 100ml of the volumetric flask dilute the volume with Methanol. And the solution was filtered through $0.45\mu m$ filter before injecting into HPLC system.

Uv spectroscopy wavelength determination

The UV spectrums of Ambroxol and Levofloxacin under these mobile phase conditions were shown below and from these spectrums, Lambda Max 238 nm were observed.



Fig 5: Uv spectrum of standard Ambroxol and Levofloxacin Peak

Method development trails

Trail 1

Mobile Phase: 0.1%OPA: Methanol (60:40) Column: Phenomenex C18, 150X4.6, 5um Flow rate: 1.0ml /min Temperature: 30^oC Injection Volume: 10ul Detector: PDA



Name	Retention Time	Area	USP Resolution	USP Tailing	USP Plate Count
Ambroxol	1.677	10643613		2.44	5831

Typical chromatogram of trail 1

Observation: second peak was not detected & tailing was observed Reason: may be concentration Corrective Action: Change the concentration

Trail 2

Mobile Phase: 0.1%OPA: Methanol (60:40) Column: Phenomenex C18, 150X4.6, 5um Flow rate: 1.0ml/min Temperature: 30⁰C Injection Volume: 10ul Detector: PDA



Name	Retention Time	Area	USP Resolution	USP Tailing	USP Plate Count
Ambroxol	1.669	566860		2.11	6043
Levofloxacin	2.287	2934510	4.57	1.91	2949

Typical chromatogram of trail 2

Observation: we got two peaks but tailing was failed

Reason: may be due to injection volume

Corrective Action: Change injection volume

Trail 3

Mobile Phase: 0.1%OPA: Methanol (60:40) Column: Phenomenex C18, 150X4.6, 5um Flow rate: 1.0ml/min Temperature: 30⁰C Injection Volume: 8 ul Detector: PDA



Name	Retention Time	Area	USP Resolution	USP Tailing	USP Plate Count
Ambroxol	1.657	482769		1.99	7309
Levofloxacin	2.274	2299518	4.83	1.79	3070

Typical chromatogram of trail 3

Observation: we got two peaks but tailing was low Reason: may be due to column Corrective Action: Change the column

Trail 4

Mobile Phase: 0.1% OPA: Methanol (60:40)

Column: Inertsil C8, 250X4.6, 5um Flow rate: 1.0ml /min Temperature: 30^oC Injection Volume: 10ul Detector: PDA



Name	Retention Time	Area	USP Resolution	USP Tailing	USP Plate Count
Ambroxol	2.173	1334998		1.58	3841
Levofloxacin	4.344	2350999	12.42	1.36	7552

resolution theoretical plate count and tailing were within the limits and it is used for validation of the

Typical chromatogram of trail 9

Observation

RT was found to be good and the peak symmetry of both drugs were good. And the

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

System suitability

System suitability data of Ambroxol and Levofloxacin

method.

parameter	Ambroxol	Levofloxacin	Acceptance criteria
Retention time	2.171	4.342	+-10
Theoretical plates	3815	7547	>2500
Tailing factor	1.59	1.35	<2.00
% RSD	0.2	0.5	<2.00

Standard Results of Ambroxol

S.no	Sampl name	RT	Area	USP plate count	USP tailing
1.	Injection1	2.169	1341010	3848	1.57
2.	Injection 2	2.170	1342391	3858	1.58
3.	Injection 3	2.176	1342732	3804	1.56
4.	Injection 4	2.175	1337571	3840	1.56
5.	Injection 5	2.175	1341222	3855	1.57

Standard Results of Levofloxacin

S.no	Sample name	RT	Area	USP plate	USP
				count	tailing
1.	Injection 1	4.334	2341300	7701	1.36
2.	Injection 2	4.347	2361615	7565	1.37
3.	Injection 3	4.353	2350663	7658	1.37
4.	Injection 4	4.355	2337632	7751	1.36
5.	Injection 5	4.342	2336473	7760	1.38



Results of system suitability study are summarized in the above table. Six consecutive injections of the standard solution showed uniform retention time, theoretical plate count, tailing factor and resolution for both the drugs which indicate a good system for analysis.

Specificity

Specificity data for Ambroxol and Levolloxacin										
S no	Sample name	Ambroxol area	Rt	Levofloxacin Area	Rt					
1	Standard	1341010	2.169	2341300	4.334					
2	Sample	1351169	2.171	2349438	4.342					
3	Blank	-	-	-	-					
4	Placebo	-	-	-	-					

Accuracy

Accuracy data for Ambroxol								
S.NO	Accuracy level	Injecton	Sample area	RT				
1	500/	1	670989	2.172				
1	50%	2	670987	2.178				
		3	670544	2.174				
		1	1348025	2.175				
		2	1343803	2.174				
2	100%	3	1344430	2.179				
		1	2016609	2.175				
3	150%	2	2013780	2.177				
		3	2016871	2.175				

S.NO	Accuracy level	Sample name	Sample weight	µg/ml added	µg/ml found	% Recovery	% Mean
		1	522.25	7.425	7.44	100	
1	2 004	2	522.25	7.425	7.44	100	100
1	50%	3	522.25	7.425	7.43	100	
		1	1044.50	14.850	14.94	101	
2	1000/	2	1044.50	14.850	14.90	100	100
Z	100%	3	1044.50	14.850	14.90	100	100
		1	1566.75	22.275	22.35	100	
3	150%	2	1566.75	22.275	22.32	100	100
		3	1566.75	22.275	22.36	100	100

Accuracy (%recovery) results of Ambroxol

Accuracy data for Levofloxacin

S.NO	Accuracy	Injecton	Sample area	RT	
	level				
		1	1172024	4.364	
1	50%	2	1178580	4.361	
		3	1175503	4.362	
		1	2344198	4.358	
2	100%	2	2347708	4.363	
		3	2343797	4.362	
		1	3520171	4.360	
3	150%	2	3518383	4.364	
		3	3530859	4.361	

Accuracy (%recovery) results of Levofloxacin

S.NO	Accuracy	Sample	Sample	µg/ml	µg/ml	%	% Mean
	level	name	weight	added	found	Recovery	
		1	522.25	50.000	49.82	100	
1	50%	2	522.25	50.000	50.10	100	100
1	5070	3	522.25	50.000	49.97	100	
		1	1044.50	100.000	99.64	100	
2	100%	2	1044.50	100.000	99.79	100	100
2	10070	3	1044.50	100.000	99.63	100	100
3	150%	1	1566.75	150.000	149.63	100	
		2	1566.75	150.000	149.55	100	100
		3	1566.75	150.000	150.08	100	100







Typical chromatogram for Accuracy 100 %





www.ijpar.com ~727~

Results of accuracy study are presented in the above table. The measured value was obtained by

recovery test. Spiked amount of both the drug were compared against the recovery amount.

% Recovery was 100.00% for Ambroxol and 100.00% for Levofloxacin. All the results indicate that the method is highly accurate.

LINEARITY

Linearity data for Ambroxol				
S.no	Conc(µg/ml)	RT	Area	
1.	50	2.171	670568	
2.	75	2.172	1008020	
3.	100	2.176	1340857	
4.	125	2.178	1672035	
5.	150	2.176	2015934	
Correlation coefficient (r ²)			0.999	



Linearity plot of Ambroxol

Linearity data for Levofloxacin					
S.no	Conc(µg/ml)	RT	Area		
1.	50	4.360	1125262		
2.	75	4.359	1750061		
3.	100	4.363	2342288		
4.	125	4.373	2933934		
5.	150	4.363	3510301		
Correlation coefficient (r ²)			0.999		



Lineariity plot of Levofloxacin

A linear relationship between peak areas versus concentrations was observed for Ambroxol and Levofloxacin in the range of 50% to 150% of

nominal concentration. Correlation coefficient was 0.999 for both Ambroxol and Levofloxacin which prove that the method is linear in the range of 50% to 150

Precision

	Precision data for Ambroxol				
S.no	RT	Area	%Assay		
injection1	2.174	1345018	99		
injection2	2.176	1341662	99		
injection3	2.177	1347766	100		
injection4	2.175	1344750	99		
injection5	2.177	1347036	100		
injection6	2.176	1342685	99		
Mean			99		
Std. Dev.			0.18		
% RSD			0.18		

Precision data for Levofloxacin

S.no	RT	Area	%Assay
injection1	4.360	2344232	100
injection 2	4.359	2348002	100
injection 3	4.362	2342121	100
injection 4	4.358	2341546	100
injection 5	4.358	2347734	100
injection 6	4.365	2347075	100
Mean			100
Std. Dev.			0.12
%RSD			0.12

Results of variability were summarized in the above table. % RSD of peak areas was calculated for various run. Percentage relative standard deviation (%RSD) was found to be less than 2% which proves that method is precise

Limit of detction

Minimum concentration of standard component in which the peak of the standard gets merged with noise called the LOD LOD = $3.3 \times \sigma/S$ Where; σ = standard deviation S = slope LOD for Ambroxol = 0.035 LOD for Levofloxacin =0.1884



Chromatrogram for LOD

Limit of quantification

Minimum concentration of standard component in which the peak of the standard gets detected and quantification $LOQ = 10*\sigma/S$ Where; σ = standard deviation S = slope LOQ for Ambroxol =0.116 LOQ for Levofloxacin =0.6281

LOQ da	ata for	Ambroxol	and	Levofloxa	acin
--------	---------	----------	-----	-----------	------

S.no	Sample name	RT	Area
1	Ambroxol	2.173	351847
2	Levofloxacin	4.358	606845





CONCLUSION

The study is focused to develop and validate HPLC methods for estimation of Ambroxol and Levofloxacin in tablet dosage form.

For routine analytical purpose it is desirable to establish methods capable of analyzing huge number of samples in a short time period with good robustness, accuracy and precision without any prior separation steps. HPLC method generates large amount of quality data, which serve as highly powerful and convenient analytical tool.

The method shows good reproducibility and good recovery. From the specificity studies, it was found that the developed methods were specific for Ambroxol and Levofloxacin.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Sharma BK. Instrumental methods of chemical analysis, Introduction to Analytical chemistry, 23thed.Goel Publishing House Meerut, 2004, P12-23.
- [2]. H.H. Willard, L.L. Merritt, J.A. Dean, F.A. Settle. Instrumental Methods of Analysis, 7th edition, CBS publishers and Distributors, New Delhi. 1986, 518-521, 580-610.
- [3]. John Adamovies. Chromatographic Analysis of Pharmaceutical, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York, 2, 74, 5-15.
- [4]. GurdeepChatwal, Sahm K. Anand. Instrumental methods of Chemical Analysis, Himalaya publishing house, New Delhi, 5, 2002, 1.1-1.8, 2.566-2.570
- [5]. D. A. Skoog, J. Holler, T.A. Nieman. Principle of Instrumental Analysis, 5th edition, Saunders College Publishing, 1998, 778-787.
- [6]. Skoog, Holler, Nieman. Principals of Instrumental Analysis, Harcourt Publishers International Company, 5, 2001, 543-554.
- [7]. William Kemp. Organic Spectroscopy, Palgrave, New York, 2005, 7-10, 328-330
- [8]. P.D. Sethi. HPLC: Quantitative Analysis Pharmaceutical Formulations, CBS Publishers and distributors, New Delhi (India), 2001, 3-137
- [9]. Method validation guidelines International Conference on harmonization; GENEVA; 1996
- [10]. Berry RI, Nash AR. Pharmaceutical Process Validation, Analytical method validation, Marcel Dekker Inc. New http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chromatography.
- [11]. Ambroxol Drug profile: www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB06742.
- [12]. Levofloxacin Drug profile.www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB01137.
- [13]. Shivani Chanda *et al.*; Development And Validation Of Spectrophotometric Method For Of Levofloxacin Hemihydrate And Ambroxol Hydrochloride In Their Combined Dosage Form, IJPRBS, 2(4), 2013, 311-32

- [14]. Makarand Avhad *et al.*; Development And Validation Of Simultaneous Uv Spectrophotometric Method For The Determination Of Levofloxacin And Ambroxol In Tablets, International Journal of ChemTech Research, 1(4), 873-888
- [15]. Belal FF et al.; Micellar liquid chromatographic method for the simultaneous determination of Levofloxacin and Ambroxol in combined tablets: Application to biological fluids, Chemistry Central Journal 7(162), 1993, 57, 411-28